PUBLIC HEARING

AUGUST 22, 2019

(COMMENCING AT 1:30 P.M.)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IDENTIFICATION</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>EXHIBIT A</td>
<td>PLANNING AND ZONING REGULATIONS</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EXHIBIT B</td>
<td>FRANKLIN COUNTY ZONING MAP</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>EXHIBIT C</td>
<td>FRANKLIN COUNTY MASTER PLAN</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>EXHIBIT D</td>
<td>ALL FILES FOR CASES HEARD</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(NOTE: ALL EXHIBITS, IF ANY, WERE RETAINED BY THE PARTIES AND ARE NOT ATTACHED HERETO.)
APPEARANCE

PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION:
TIM BRINKER, CHAIRMAN
DAVE HINSON, COMMISSIONER
TODD BOLAND, COMMISSIONER
PLANNING AND ZONING STAFF:
SCOTTIE EAGAN, DIRECTOR PLANNING AND ZONING
COUNTY LEGAL COUNSEL:
MARK PIONTEK, COUNTY ATTORNEY
ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES:
PATSY A. MAYBERRY, C. R.
711 NORTH 11TH STREET
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63101
(314) 644-2191

Reported by:
Patsy A. Mayberry, C. R.
Alaris Litigation Services

www.alaris.us
Phone: 1.800.280.3376
Fax: 314.644.1334
PROCEDINGS
(AUGUST 22, 2019)

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: All right.
I'd like to welcome everybody and announce the public
hearing before us today, Thursday, August 22nd. The
Commission is present, and I call this public hearing
to order.

Scottie.

MS. EAGAN: At this time, I'd
like to place into the record the Franklin County
Unified Land Use Regulations as Exhibit A, the
official Zoning Map as Exhibit B, the official Master
Plan as Exhibit C, and the case file for each case as
Exhibit D for all the cases to be heard at this
hearing.

(THEREUPON, EVIDENCE WAS MARKED
FOR IDENTIFICATION AND SUBMITTED
FOR THE RECORD AS CITY EXHIBITS A,
B, C, AND D.)

As each case is opened, a staff report
will first be read by the Planning and Zoning
Department, followed by the Commissioners' questions
for the staff.

Then if anyone in the audience would like
to speak or comment during the hearing, they must
first print their name on the sign-in sheet provided, and then be sworn in.

When it is your turn to speak, you will come to the front of the room to address the Commission and only the Commission, not anyone in the audience, with any questions or comments.

Generally the applicant for the rezoning is allowed to speak first, followed by those in support of the rezoning, and then those opposed. The applicant may speak again after comments from the general public to address any questions or issues brought up during the hearing.

At the conclusion of all questions, comments, and discussion concerning each case, the public hearing for each case will conclude.

The decision will generally be made by Commission Order at a later date during the County Commission's regular meeting time.

This is File 190144, Farn Washington, L. C., Mark Farner.

The applicant is requesting to rezone approximately .9 acres from Non-Urban and Agricultural to Commercial Activity Highway Service.

The property is located at 3012 Old Highway 100, at the northwest corner of Highway 100.
and Old Highway 100, in Boles Township.

THE FACTS: The total area for the rezoning is approximately .9 acres.

The zoning of this property is Non-Urban and Agricultural. The applicant would like to rezone to Commercial Activity Highway Service.

Commercial Activity Highway Service accommodates commercial uses that draw business primarily along major highways within the county.

The properties to the north between Old Highway 100 and Highway 100 are zoned Non-Urban and Agricultural.

The properties to the east are zoned Suburban Development.

The property directly across Highway 100 got rezoned to Community Development.

The surrounding properties are a mix of low-density and medium-density residential properties.

This property will have access to Old Highway 100.

This property is located in Public Water Supply District Number 3.

According to the Assessor records, there appears to be one building currently on the property.

STAFF COMMENTS: This property is
currently in violation for running a motor vehicle
sales business on a property that does not permit such
an activity.

This property appears to be Commercial on the Future Land Use Map.

The Planning and Zoning Commission voted to recommend approval of this rezoning with four in favor and six opposed.

Rezonings are allowed in our regulations due to the ever-changing conditions that exist in the county and elsewhere. According to Article 14, Section 321, any such change must promote the health, safety, morals, comfort, and general welfare of Franklin County by conserving and protecting property and building values, by securing the most economical use of land and facilitating the adequate provision of public improvements in accordance with the Master Plan adopted by Franklin County.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Thank you, Scottie. For clarification on Number 3, it says the Planning and Zoning Commission voted to recommend approval with four in favor and six opposed.

Can you help explain that?

MS. EAGAN: So four of our Planning and Zoning Commissioners were in favor of the
rezoning, and six of them are opposed to the rezoning.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: And when --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Excuse me, sir. I can't hear you.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Hold please.

This is a public hearing, and you'll be called upon.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Well, I didn't hear you.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Thank you.

Scottie, what is -- so --

MS. EAGAN: They are recommending denial.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Okay.

MS. EAGAN: But they never made that official motion.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: In other words, they took a vote to recommend it and it failed?

MS. EAGAN: Correct. Yes.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Okay. So I read this as a typo is the reason for clarification.

Thank you.

All right. That being said, we'll go right into we'll allow for those in favor to speak to this point. Anybody in the audience wish to speak in favor of this recommendation?
(THEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS SWORN.)

MR. CHARLES DUFORE: My name is Charles Dufore. I go by Skip Dufore. I'm an attorney, and I represent the applicant Farn Washington, L. L. C. and Mark Farner.

Mr. Farner is here with me this afternoon, and he may also speak. To the extent questions come up that I don't have personal knowledge of, I would ask Mr. Farner to approach the bench or the pulpit, or the dais and answer those questions.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: And he certainly may do so after being sworn in.

MR. DUFORE: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Thank you.

MR. DUFORE: So I'd like to inquire I guess. The exhibits, Scottie, that were introduced at the Planning Commission hearing on behalf of the applicant, that's part of this proceedings here today?

MS. EAGAN: Anything submitted at the hearing was brought up.

MR. DUFORE: Okay.

And I asked that point of clarification because I know that in the staff comments it was
mentioned that the present use of the property by the
applicant is in violation of land use codes. And I
wanted to explain a little bit of the background of
how and why that happened.

We have basically two parcels of property
here under one parcel number under the tax assessment
as I understand, and the property is being taxed
commercially.

The first parcel I believe Mr. Farner
bought, I'm going to say, inn around 2003. And when
he bought that parcel, it was being used as a used car
lot. And prior to that point in time immediately
before its purchase, it was being used as a used car
lot and I think even perhaps before that it was maybe
a gas station and a convenience store.

I'm not sure what the zoning was at that
point in time in that 2003 timeframe, but the usage of
it was on a commercial basis.

After Mr. Farner bought it, he leased it
to someone who ran it as a used car lot.

Then the timeframe of 2013 approximately,
the second part of the parcel that is one parcel
number was acquired by Mr. Farner as a remnant from
the State Highway Commission. So as you look at, I
guess, the map that maybe you have as part of your
file, the property that has the structure on it was
the first parcel purchased. And the property across
the paved street, which is grass, was a parcel
acquired from the State Highway Commission.

Now, Mr. Farner believes that in
acquiring the remnant from the State Highway
Commission, that he also purchased the rights to that
roadway to the extent of the dormant property line
extending across to match up on his property on the
other side, on the -- we'll call it north, east, and
west, the grassy one, I'll call it on the west side.
And the first parcel I'll call on the east side.

If you extend that property line across,
he believes that that was included in the deed from
the State. I think Scottie has mentioned that they're
not sure that that is the case. But regardless, all
of the property is under one parcel now.

So what -- what happened here
historically and how the land use violations developed
is that Mr. Farner had a used car lot in the City of
Wildwood. He leased that out to another operator, and
he transferred his license from Wildwood to Villa
Ridge, unincorporated Franklin County.

And in going through that process, he did
get a license from the State of Missouri authorizing
used car sales at that location, he did get a merchant's permit from Franklin County at that location, he had a fire approval and a building permit at that location.

So as I said at the Planning Commission, you know, right, wrong or indifferent, Mr. Farner believed that he had everything that he needed to conduct business operations legally at that site, and given the fact that the prior historical use of the site, he didn't believe there was any land use violations.

Obviously cited with them and those are pending, so I'm just only offering this to suggest to you that there was no wilful flagrant intent just to ignore the land use code.

Mr. Farner thought he was in compliance until it was brought to his attention otherwise. And he even had thought that some earlier correspondence by the Planning Department or the code enforcement officers was not applicable because at that point in time he had not transferred his license from Wildwood to Villa Ridge with the sanction of the State.

And those permits that I've mentioned are part of the exhibits, is why I was asking Scottie if they're part of the record.
So to try to correct the land use violations and to correct the zoning, we proceeding with filing this zoning application. What's before you today is the slightly amended zoning application because in the first one that I filed, I requested that it be CA or CA3. I didn't really know which one might be considered more appropriate by the County, and then I was notified by the Planning Commission that I have to pick one. I can't just say here are two. Give me one. I need to pick one to apply for, which I did in the first amended application, and I picked CA3.

Then I received a phone call from the Planning Department suggesting that it might be more appropriate to request CA under the existing code. So I filed the second amended petition, and it brings us to the request that's before you today from -- for the CA district.

And I was asking questions as to why that particular district was selected at the Planning Commission, and as I explained, we looked for ones, I looked for ones that approved and authorized the sale of motor vehicles, used motor vehicles.

And see here it's got a list of a whole host of other permitted uses besides the one that
we're asking to have here. And the question was raised well, if the zoning is granted, there could -- any of the permitted uses could be ones that the property could be put to use.

And I said, well, yes, I clearly have to admit that, but the only one that we're interested in is the one that allows used car sales, and that's why we're here. And that's still the case.

We filed this petition "on our own" after having learned that the County had a -- was considering a proposed county-wide rezoning, and that under that proposed county-wide rezoning, this property was designated as commercial.

We still moved forward on this application because we had the land use violations. We didn't know or appreciate how long it would or would not take for the county-wide rezoning to be considered and implemented. So we thought well, we'll come forward with our own rezoning request, and that's another reason why we're here today.

I know that, you know, historically the property was used commercially, that the proposed use is commercial, it's being taxes as commercial, and we certainly feel that at the intersection of Old 100 and New 100 right there that the proper use of the
property is commercial. We feel that that does promote the County's best interest in terms of expanding the commercial base of the county, and it would add not that many jobs, but I think there's probably two to three people working there.

And obviously there is some sales tax that is generated for residents, you know, within the county that buy at that location.

I don't have anything more to say other than we do understand that if this zoning were to be granted, that there are other requirements in the existing code, and as I understand, in the contemplated rezoning and regulations, that we would have to meet, including buffering, signage and certain parking requirements for customers and employees.

And it's my understanding that if the zoning is granted, then we'll have to meet those requirements in order to fully comply with the code.

There's -- I don't know if the Commissioners have had opportunity to review the transcript of the Planning Commission, but I do want to address one final point that came up in that hearing. Which was the building permit application for the use of the premises was designated as office by my client when he came to apply for a building
permit.

And it is in fact the office of the used car lot. There was some mention that had the application been designated as office for used car lot, that the review process may have been different than what it was in granting the permit and ultimately in granting the business license.

I don't know whether that's right or wrong, but regardless, I'm again suggesting to you that we're coming in and asking for it to be considered as an office and that was the building permit, it was known or should have been known as it always had been used as a car lot. I mean, it was clearly our intention that it was the office for the car lot, and that's what it's being used for today.

So again, just simply suggesting that there was no nefarious intent to try to circumvent anything that is required by your code. And I'm here to tell you that if you're kind enough to grant the commercial rezoning, we will comply with the code in whatever respects we need to in order to become in full compliance.

That's all I have. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Thank you.

Any questions by the Commission?
COMMISSIONER BOLAND: No.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Thank you.

Anybody else in the audience wish to speak in favor of the proposal?

Please state your name and be sworn in.

(THEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS SWORN.)

MR. MARK FARNER: My name's Mark Farner, and I own the property at 3012 Old Highway 100, and I just appreciate your time, you know, coming out here today and telling us -- and telling you the situation up there where I'm at.

Like Mr. Dufore said, all along I thought I was in compliance when I got my dealer license from the state and my business license from Franklin County that I was in compliance with everything.

The first that I heard about this was just, you know, recently when we got the code violations, and that's when I got ahold of Mr. Dufore and told him, you know, the situation and all. But I'm just asking, you know, since I own the property right next to my lot there, that I could just get it rezoned commercial where we can park cars then, you know, over there on the -- on the area, you know, just north of my building there, you know, since it's at a
major intersection.

And you know, we've kept the lot looking real good. Everything around here, you know, keeping nice cars on the lot, washing them, no tire -- no cars with flat tires or anything, and you know, we try to keep our customers happy.

And really that's all I have to say.

Does anybody have a question to me?

COMMISSIONER BOLAND: My question to you, when was the first time you were notified that you were in violation?

MR. FARNER: I believe that it was probably -- I'm guessing probably three or four months ago, three months ago. Is that right? Yeah, three. Three or four months ago.

MS. EAGAN: The first violation was sent in I believe it was the summer of 2017.

MR. FARNER: I was -- I was not out there in 2017.

MS. EAGAN: We have a letter that was sent to you stating that motor vehicle sales is prohibited there and you need to rezone.

Tori worked with you. You removed all the cars. The case would have -- the file was closed, and then in 2018, you brought the cars back out, and
that's what reopened the case to go to municipal court.

MR. FARNER: Okay. Yes, okay.

2017 I had some cars parked out there. They said that I had to get -- move my dealer's license before I could park them. There was only just a handful of vehicles out there. We removed those, and then later on applied for our dealer license back in last year for -- for the lot.

MR. DUFORE: You weren't selling them there. You had them stored there.

MR. FARNER: Exactly, yeah. That's what I said. We had parked them there, but we weren't selling there and so we removed them like they asked me to do. And then last year, you know, is when we applied for the dealer -- dealer and business license there.

MR. DUFORE: Mr. Commissioner, if I may. There is a 2017 letter, no question about it. I was involved in working through that problem with Tori at the time.

Mr. Farner had cars parked on that property. He did not have a license there at all, and but was not conducting sales from the site at that time. He was using it as he thought was okay for
excess storage for his lot in Wildwood.

And that's what I alluded to earlier. He thought his violation was right, wrong or different, that he hadn't been approved by the State. He hadn't gotten any occupancy permit, and the cars were removed. That violation was complied with and satisfied, but it was not recognized at that point in time as being a land use violation because Mr. Farner though that after he got the permits that I addressed in my presentation, that he was okay.

And I'm just telling that's what it was. I mean, whether that's considered a good explanation or not, that is what happened.

COMMISSIONER BOLAND: Okay.

MR. FARNER: Does anybody else have any questions?

COMMISSIONER HINSON: I do not.

COMMISSIONER BOLAND: No.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: No, sir.

MR. FARNER: Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Thank you.

Anybody else in the audience wish to speak in favor of the proposition?
Anybody wish to speak in opposition of the proposal? Please come forward and be sworn in and state accordingly.

MR. JOHN HASSLER: John Hassler.

(THEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS SWORN.)

I apologize, Commissioner. I couldn't hear anyone.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: That's all right.

MR. HASSLER: Yeah. I live at 3022. It's borders the property in question.

In 2017, I probably had 15, 20 people coming in my house because there was for-sale signs on all that vehicles and stuff, but there was no phone number. And nobody knew how to get a hold of them, and I kind of got tired of that.

So I mean, I guess he was trying to sell some at that time, you know, because they had for-sale signs in there in the windows of the other vehicles.

And you know, since he's been operating, put his sign out there and all that and everything, recently there, I don't like the traffic that's
involved. There's a lot of -- to me, a lot of shady characters that are parked out in front of my house all the time.

One guy was sitting out there. My girlfriend wouldn't come in my house because there was some strange truck right there in my driveway. And I went out and tried him to get him to leave. It just looked like a nice quiet place to make a few phone calls and do some paperwork.

Well, there's a reason why it's a nice spot to do paperwork, you know. Don't know why he'd get in my driveway to do something like that. It made me nervous.

And just been a little bit more of a Class A county really having to deal with stuff like that. And that was pretty much it.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Thank you.

Thank you, appreciate it.

MR. HASSLER: You all have any questions for me or anything?

COMMISSIONER HINSON: I do not.

MR. HASSLER: Thank you all.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Thank you.

Anybody else wish to come forward and
speak in opposition of the proposal?

You need to state your name and sign in.

(THEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS SWORN.)

MS. SUSANNA BOLLOCK: Hello. My name is Susanna Bollock. I've been here before. I live at 3550 St. Louis Rock Road. My property is catty-corner to the used car lot, and historically you're right. It's been a little bit of everything.

It was a small engine repair. It was a gas station. It was something else. Then it was a convenience store. Then it was empty for a while. Then it was a convenience store again. Then it was a used car lot with maybe five cars. Then it was a little bit more, and then it started to be a parking lot for cars with for-sale signs in it.

And then it has this huge sign that I can see from my bedroom window.

My family's lived on this land a long time. Like those before me, I try to be a good citizen and a good neighbor. Whoever shows up to sell cookies or have bags filled with canned goods or needs help with a car, I do what I can. I call a tow truck. I buy Thin Mints and contribute to community causes.
I recycle. I do my yard work. I attend Villa Ridge Christian Church, and I go to it as much as I can. I'm a friend of the library, and I am in compliance with zoning.

I try to be a good neighbor. I'm not the smartest bulb in that pack, but I am compliant with zoning.

Mark Farner is not a good neighbor because he is not considering anything he does to have consequences with the homes and the business around him.

What does a business need to do to be a good neighbor and contribute to the community so that -- what was the line -- health and wellbeing of Franklin County. Okay.

Straatman Toyota, down the road on Highway 100, I have actually purchased used cars, new cars from there for 40 years. I think they've been in business longer. When they were on Fifth Street, I bought my first car.

They are now close to residential housing, but there is a huge buffer of land. No lighted sign shows -- shines into any bedroom window. Neat, clean, well landscaped. A well-planned business aware of the community and not infringing on anyone
else's land. A business built over 40 years with deep
awareness and connection to the community.

Okay. That cannot be said of Mark
Farner. He is not only a bad neighbor and "would you
buy a used car from this man who makes so many
mistakes?" He is breaking the law with impunity, and
he is disingenuous in the sense that if you think he's
telling the truth about not knowing about zoning, then
he hasn't been in business for 40 years.

I don't know anything, and I know about
zoning. He continues to operate even though he was
notified that the property was not zoned for used car
sales. While he's been in the used car business for
years, he claimed ignorance.

He does not tell the Building Department
his business, and merely says he wants to have an
office. Even though he is in non-compliance with
zoning, he places a large lighted sign on his property
that shines into nearby homes.

He acts like he's sure, and he may be,
that Franklin County will rubber stamp this and allow
him to have a used car business in that corner.

He waits out authorities, and he hires an
attorney to argue his ignorance and his innocence,
which is bogus.
Historically we know what that property has been. We know that Highway 100 is going to be Manchester Road within my lifetime. I would like to slow it down this much. Will this used car benefit anyone within a two square miles of Villa Ridge?

No, not in my opinion.

Is it the best use of the land?

No.

Will this add to the health and wellbeing of Villa Ridge or greater Franklin County?

No.

Consider this rezoning request as if it was across from your own home. I don't know where you all live. If you live across from a used car lot, raise your hand, please.

Do you want to live with a used car lot across from your front door with a light shining into your bedroom window?

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Thank you.

Anybody else in the audience wish to speak in opposition proposal? I would ask that you keep in mind the Commission today is considering, and only considering, the rezoning of the parcel of property within Franklin County. It has nothing to do
with individuals or their history. It has to do only with the planned use in this county as relates to land use today.

Thank you.

(THEREUPON, THE WITNESS WAS SWORN.)

MR. LANCE MATTHIS: Good afternoon. My name is Lance Matthis. I live at 3016 Old Highway 100, directly across from the parcel in question.

My esteemed Commissioners, we know that Article 14, Section 321 of the Unified Land Use states that rezoning will be allowed based on the understanding that any changes must promote the health, safety, morals, and comfort of Franklin County while also protecting the personal property values.

As such, rezoning of this should be denied for the multiple commercial uses that would not adhere to this article as written.

These range from commercial use being able to be a crematorium or a funeral home, bars, nightclubs, liquor stores, just to name a few. These business, while they have their place in our community, they do not promote the health, safety or comfort of the Villa Ridge community or in a Non-Urban
and Agricultural zoned area.

While the property is not used in that fashion today, rezoning it to commercial would allow for those businesses to be built in the future, and thus, creating a risk to our community.

The current issues of the property is also causing harm to the local residents' safety and comfort. Highlighting yet another reason to deny this request. The local harm that has been expressed by others, as well as documented previous court proceedings range from personal property damage to a blinding light that obscures vision when approaching Highway 100, which is the definition of a public safety hazard.

We also know that the current use does not promote the morals or community values of Franklin County, which was made clear by the business' willingness to deceive the County when filing for a business license as an office while knowing its intended use was to sell used vehicles.

Also as stated, they've been previously notified of these zoning violations.

Based on comments that have been made about the previous use of the property throughout the past and when it was sold, I would offer that Wildwood
Motors would have a chance to pursue litigation against the original seller for a misinterpretation of the land use. But the people in the community of Villa Ridge should not have to bear the burden of that continued mistake.

As such, for these reasons, this rezoning request should be denied for we the people of Villa Ridge trust the Commission will uphold and protect the individual and community values you were elected to uphold, adhere to the articles as written, and stand by the opposed position of the Planning and Zoning and their recommendation not to approve.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Thank you.

Any questions?

COMMISSIONER HINSON: No.

COMMISSIONER BOLAND: I have none. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Appreciate it.

Anybody else in the audience wish to speak in opposition of the proposal?

(NONE)

Seeing none, anybody wish to testify for informational purposes only?

(NONE)
If the proposer wishes to readdress any points made, they'll be allowed to do so at this time.

MR. DUFORDE: Just briefly, Mr. Chairman.

I do take, I want to say for the record, some offense against the personal comments made directed toward Mr. Farner. I think those comments -- I mean, if someone wants to make comments about any landowner, I don't -- I understand everybody has their own personal opinion, but I don't know that that's germane consideration here.

We don't look to be a bad neighbor at all. The signage that was mentioned as being unacceptable was the existing signage that was on the property when Farner bought it. And all he did was change the face of it. And when he approached Franklin County about whether he needed a sign permit, he was told no as long as all he was doing was changing the facing of the sign.

The lights -- the lights that are complained of were existing at the time he bought the property in 2003.

Clearly we are not looking to be a bad neighbor. If there's a way to redirect lights or a way to control when lights are on or when lights are
off, we are willing to meet with neighbors and try to
address those concerns.

These objections have only come forth, to
my knowledge, through the zoning process.

As far as the land use in promoting the
health, safety and general morals of the community,
clearly the area in my view cries out for a commercial
use, not just what's happening on this property but
across the street. I think the County recognizes that
itself. Any community needs agriculture, residential,
and commercial, and when combative uses are adjacent
to one another, there are buffering standards which
I've indicate we're happy to meet and address.

So just because someone doesn't like an
individual or like a particular location of a property
does not mean in my view that it's against the health,
safety and general welfare and morals of the
community.

I think this property is well suited for
commercial, and I think it should be zoned commercial.
And the City then has all of its other code
regulations to regulate how that commercial use is
put. And like I said, we're looking for the zoning
and we're happy to comply with whatever requirements
are applicable to that zoning.
So thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: Thank you.

MR. DUFORE: Any questions?

CHAIRMAN BRINKER: No, sir.

There being no further business or testimony to be accepted here, everything that was in the P and Z hearing will be obviously for the record, as well as everything here today that was both stated and submitted.

At this time, this public hearing is adjourned and we'll come back with a ruling at a later date. Thank you.

(THEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED AT 2:09 P.M.)
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